SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

Case 2:13-cv-00347-CDJ_Document 1_Filed 01/22/13 Page 1 of 10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	tea states i	a september 13 / 1, 13 requ		court for the purpose of minuting
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
ROBERT SILVER			NCO FINANCIA	L SYSTEMS, INC.	
•	of First Listed Plaintiff		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na Craig Thor Kimmel, E: Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888 II. BASIS OF JURISD 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	P.C.	III. CI	LAND I Attorneys (If Known)	TF DEF	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	of Business In	Principal Place
			en or Subject of a reign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			D. 4	OMNUM AND LOCATION
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 370 Other Fraud □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 700 Product Liability □ 380 Other Personal □ 285 Property Damag □ 385 Property Damag	RY	ORFEITURE/PENALTY 10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 10 Liquor Laws 10 R.R. & Truck 10 Airline Regs. 10 Occupational Safety/Health 10 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 10 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 10 Railway Labor Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 12 Naturalization Application 13 Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee 15 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
又 1 Original □ 2 Re	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 15 U.S.C SECTION 1692 Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	Reopare filing (pened anothe	al statutes unless diversity):	Magistrate Judgment tif demanded in complaint:
DATE	azav. =	OF APPROX	DNEW OF BECORD		

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

01/22/2013 /s/ CRAIG THOR KIMMEL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

R	OBERT SILVER	:	CIVIL ACTION	
N	v. CO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC	: : : : :	NO.	
pla fili sid des pla tha	intiff shall complete a Case Manang the complaint and serve a copy e of this form.) In the event that signation, that defendant shall, with intiff and all other parties, a Case Management to the case should be completed in the case should be case shoul	on all defendants at a defendant do h its first appearant Anagement Trackled be assigned.	elay Reduction Plan of this court, court esignation Form in all civil cases at the est. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the poes not agree with the plaintiff regarding the ce, submit to the clerk of court and serve to Designation Form specifying the track to	time of reverse age said
SE	LECT ONE OF THE FOLLOW	VING CASE MA	NAGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a)	Habeas Corpus – Cases brought	under 28 U.S.C. §	§ 2241 through § 2255.	()
(b)	Social Security – Cases requesting and Human Services denying pla	•	•	
(c)	Arbitration – Cases required to be	e designated for a	arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)
(d)	Asbestos – Cases involving claim exposure to asbestos.	ns for personal in	jury or property damage from	()
(e)	Special Management – Cases that commonly referred to as complex the court. (See reverse side of the management cases.)	x and that need sp	pecial or intense management by	
(f)	Standard Management – Cases th	nat do not fall into	o any one of the other tracks.	()
<u>01/</u> Da		<u>Fhor Kimmel</u> ey-at-law	<u>Plaintiff, Robert Silver</u> Attorney for	

215-540-8888 877-788-2864 kimmel@creditlaw.com
Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address

Case 2:13-cv-0034**0**1000 **STDATION DISTRICED CO/2R/1**13 Page 3 of 10

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar.			
Address of Plaintiff: 1022 Rock Canyon Drive, Katy, TX	77450		
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Rd., Horsham, PA	19044		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:			
(Use Reverse Side For .	• '		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes□ No⊠		
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:			
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ No፟ No No No No No No No No No		
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier terminated action in this court?	Yes \square No \boxtimes numbered case pending or within one year previously Yes \square No \boxtimes		
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	nts case filed by the same individual? Yes□ No☒		
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)			
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts		
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury		
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation		
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury		
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury		
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)		
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability		
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos		
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases		
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)		
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692			
ARBITRATION CERT (Check Appropriate C			
I, Craig Thor Kimmel , counsel of record do hereby certing Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	ify:		
DATE: 01/22/2013 /s/ Craig Thor Kimme	57100		
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#		
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere nas been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.		
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	r within one year previously terminated action in this court		
DATE: 01/22/2013 /g/ Craig Thor Kimme	57100		

Attorney-at-Law

Attorney I.D.#

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 ROBERT SILVER, 4 **Plaintiff Case No.:** 5 v. **COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR** 6 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., **JURY TRIAL** 7 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 8 **COMPLAINT** 9 ROBERT SILVER ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & 10 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., 11 12 ("Defendant"): 13 INTRODUCTION 14 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 16 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 17 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 18 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 19 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 20 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 21 3. Defendant's headquarters are in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; therefore, 22 personal jurisdiction is established. 23 24 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 25 - 1 -

3

45

6

7

8

10

11 12

13 14

1516

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

25

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Katy, Texas 77450.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. In the alternative, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a) and Weinrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 9. Defendant collects, and attempts to collect, consumer debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors and debt buyers using the U.S. Mail, telephone and/or internet.
- 10. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 11. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt.
- 13. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 14. Plaintiff never incurred any debt in connection with a business or commercial activities and, therefore, the debt, if truly an obligation owed by him, could have only arisen from a financial obligation for primarily personal, family or household purposes.

- 15. The debt Defendant is seeking to collect is a consumer debt.
- 16. Beginning in or before May 2012, and continuing through July 2012, Defendant continuously and repetitively contacted Plaintiff on his home telephone in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 17. During this time, Defendant called Plaintiff's home phone, on average, once (1) a day, causing him to receive more than twenty (20) collection calls a month.
- 18. Each time it called Plaintiff, upon information and belief, Defendant used an automated dialer and pre-recorded and/or automated message.
- 19. In those instances where Plaintiff answered Defendant's calls, Plaintiff was greeted by a computer message, but the message would not provide him with any information regarding the alleged debt or the option to speak with a live person.
- 20. In those instances where Plaintiff's home answering machine picked-up the call, Defendant left a message stating "This is NCO Financial. We are a debt collector attempting to collect a debt. Please call us back at (866) 278-1213 and ask for Randall Gun."
- 21. It was extremely frustrating, harassing and annoying for Plaintiff to receive constant collection calls, but not to be provided with any information about the alleged debt.
- 22. In fact, when contacting Plaintiff, Defendant contacted at times when it was inconvenient for him to receive collection calls, specifically calling him on a federal holiday July 4, 2012.
- 23. Finally, within five (5) days of its initial communication with Plaintiff, Defendant failed to send him written notification of his rights under the FDCPA, including the right to dispute the debt, request verification of the debt, and/or information about the debt.

- 24. To date, Defendant has not sent Plaintiff anything in writing regarding the alleged debt.
- 25. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect a debt were harassing, abusive, and annoying.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT COUNT I

- 26. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692c(a)(1).
 - A debt collector violates §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA by communicating with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt at any unusual time or place or a time or place known or which should be known to be inconvenient to the consumer. In absence of knowledge of circumstances to the contrary, a debt collector shall assume that the convenient time for communicating with a consumer is after 8:00 a.m. and before 9:00 p.m., local time at the consumer's location.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA when it called Plaintiff at an inconvenient time, specifically on the federal holiday of July 4, 2012.

COUNT II

- 27. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. Section 1692d of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or

abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.

- b. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
- c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA by continuously calling Plaintiff on his home telephone once a day, causing him to receive at least twenty (20) collections calls a month, with the intent to harass or annoy Plaintiff.
- d. Further, Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by contacting Plaintiff with an automated dialer using a pre-recorded or artificial voice, making it impossible for Plaintiff to receive any information about the debt, having the intent to annoy, abuse and harass him.

COUNT III

- 28. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. \$1692f.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a debt, including contacting Plaintiff with an automated dialer and a pre-recorded and/or artificial voice, as well as not providing him with information about the alleged debt.

3

2

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNT IV

29. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g.

- a. A debt collector violates §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send to the consumer, with five days after its initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of a debt, a written notice containing: (1) the amount of the debt; (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed; (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector; (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
- b. Here, Defendant violated § 1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of his rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt or providing him with the name of the

1	original creditor and the amount of the debt.				
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ROBERT SILVER, respectfully prays for a judgment as				
3	follows:				
4	a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);				
5	b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to				
6	15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);				
7	c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation				
8	costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and				
9 10	d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.				
11	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
12	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, ROBERT SILVER, demands a jury trial in this				
13	case.				
14	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,				
15	DATED: 01/22/2013 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.				
16	By: <u>/s/ Craig Thor Kimmel</u> Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire				
17	PA Attorney Id. No. 57100 30 E. Butler Pike				
18	Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888				
19	Fax: (877) 788-2864 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
	7				